

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,367	08/15/2003	Richard H. Schlosberg	2001B052A/2	2531
23455 7590 10/03/2007 EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY 5200 BAYWAY DRIVE			EXAMINER STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

å å		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/642,367	SCHLOSBERG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•		•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2007.					
· -	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-15, 17-26, 28-39, 41-46 and 48</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-15,17-26,28-39,41-46 and 49-5</u> Claim(s) <u>58</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration. Z is/are rejected.	oplication			
Applicati	on Papers					
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acco					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
			* *			
Attachment(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LInterview Sun Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 2, 4-15, 17-26, 28-39, 41-46 and 49-58 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, directed to a process of making (claims 1-46), in the reply filed on January 12, 2006 was acknowledged in a previous Office Action. The requirement was deemed proper and therefore made FINAL in a previous Office Action.

Claims 47 and 48 were withdrawn (now cancelled) from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 12, 2006.

Applicant has provided evidence in this file showing that the invention was owned by, or subject to an obligation of assignment to, the same entity as Buchanan et al. {U.S. Pat. 6,407,279} at the time this invention was made, or was subject to a joint research agreement at the time this invention was made.

Therefore, Buchanan et al. has been disqualified as prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,367

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1, 2, 4-15, 17-26, 28-39, 41-46 and 49-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pacheco et al. in view of the combination of teachings in Emmons et al. {U.S. Pat. 3,535,341} and McClellan {U.S. Pat. 2,873,282}.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP \$2141.01)

Applicant claims a process of making dialkyl carbonate and a diol from alkylene oxide, carbon dioxide and an aliphatic monohydric alcohol comprising (a) reacting an alkylene oxide with carbon dioxide in the presence of a carbonation catalyst selected from carbonates and bicarbonates of quaternary ammonium bases to provide a crude cyclic carbonate and (b) reacting said cyclic carbonate with an aliphatic monohydric alcohol in the presence of a catalyst.

Pacheco et al. (see entire reference and especially columns 7, 9 and 10) teach a process of making dialkyl carbonate and a diol from alkylene oxide, carbon dioxide and an aliphatic monohydric alcohol in the

presence of quarternary ammonium compounds for each step.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between the process of Pacheco et al. and the process instantly claimed is that Pacheco et al. generically describe the instant quaternary ammonium catalysts for each of the claimed steps (a) and (b). Further, Emmons et al. (column 1, lines 35-53) and McClellan (columns 1 and 2) each teach that it is known to use quaternary ammonium compounds as catalysts in processes of making alkylene carbonates (Applicant's cyclic carbonate produced in step a).

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP \$2142-2413)

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to utilize the process taught by Pacheco et al., especially in view of the teachings in Emmons et al. and McClellan, to arrive at the instant claimed process

with the expectation of obtaining a dialkyl carbonate and a diol. Therefore, the instant claimed process would have been suggested to one skilled in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 58 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory In no event, however, will the statutory action. period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600

September 28, 2007